The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 32

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte J. ERIC BERGE, GLENN S. SEAMARK, ALFRED A. SCHROEDER, MARK A. MCCLURE and DANIEL A. GLIMN

MAILED

SEP 2 3 2003

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES Application No. 09/364,794

ON BRIEF

Before COHEN, FRANKFORT, and NASE, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>. NASE, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

DISMISSAL OF APPEAL

On March 1, 2002, the appellants filed a Notice of Appeal (Paper No. 21) appealing from the examiner's final rejection (Paper No. 14, mailed November 1, 2001). The appellants filed an Appeal Brief on April 30, 2002 (Paper No. 22), and the Examiner's Answer was mailed on July 30, 2002 (Paper No. 24). A Docketing Notice was sent by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (the Board) on June 23,

Application No. 09/364,794

2003 (Paper No. 29). An oral hearing had not been requested by the appellants, and the case was assigned to this panel of the Board to be decided on brief. Prior to this dismissal of appeal, the panel took the case up for action on the basis of the written record.

However, on September 3, 2003, the appellants filed (1) a request to withdrew the application from appeal for continued examination by the examiner; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE); (3) an amendment; and (4) an Information

Disclosure Statement (IDS). In view of these requests, the appeal is hereby dismissed and a decision on the merits will not be rendered.

DISMISSED

IRWIN CHARLES COHEN Administrative Patent Judge

CHARLES E. FRANKFORT Administrative Patent Judge

) APPEALS) AND) INTERFERENCES

BOARD OF PATENT

JEFFREY V. NASE

Administrative Patent Judge

Application No. 09/364,794

BROWN, MARTIN, HALLER & MCCLAIN LLP 1660 UNION STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-2926